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I derive the expected reading in an Eötvös-type experiment conducted in the presence of forces of medium range (a range comparable with the scale of topographic features on Earth). The signal is very sensitive to the topographic structure within the force’s range from the location of the experiment. Both the sign and the magnitude of the measured torque depend on the local topography. The magnitude of the torque can change by orders of magnitude. The anomalies found recently by Fischbach et al. (in the original data of Eötvös et al.) do not, by themselves, determine the magnitude or the sign of a suspected medium-range force, even if the reported anomaly is due to such a force. By choosing an optimal site for an experiment one can increase its detection sensitivity to about 600 times the sensitivity assumed by Fischbach et al. (This factor is the ratio of the gravitational acceleration to the horizontal centrifugal acceleration at the latitude of the experiment.)
The mass-discrepancy problem

- Galactic systems held together by gravity balancing inertial forces (Eötvös)

- Measure accelerations: \( a \approx \frac{V^2}{R} \)

- Assume Newtonian dynamics: \( g \approx \frac{MG}{R^2}, \ a = g \)

- Put together: \( M \approx \frac{V^2R}{G} \)
The anomalies appear in Equilibrium dynamics: Disc galaxies elliptical galaxies dwarf satellites, galaxy groups, clusters.

Lensing Universe at large from evolotion and structure formation.
We measure:

- Rotational speeds as function of radius in disc galaxies

- Velocity dispersions in ‘pressure-supported systems’ (dwarf spheroidals, elliptical galaxies, galaxy clusters)

- Temperatures and density profiles in of hot, pressure-supported gas (in elliptical galaxies and galaxy clusters)

- Bending of light or distortions of images due to gravitational lensing
The mass discrepancy (aka: dark matter) problem

We can also measure directly the mass in baryons:

- Stars: convert light to mass

- Cold gas: 21 cm line emission is proportional to neutral Hydrogen mass (then add Helium in known proportion)

- Hot gas: x-ray emission.

- In the Universe most of the (nucleosynthesis) baryons are missing.
Dark matter?

- Evidence for gravitational anomalies, not directly for DM.
- No known form of matter (in the SM) can be the DM.
- Many experiments have failed to detect DM directly and indirectly.
- Another fix to standard dynamics is required – ‘dark energy’.
- Many observations conflicts with natural predictions of DM.
- Unexplained ‘coincidences’: $\rho(DM) \approx 5\rho(bar) \sim \rho(DE)$.
- Galactic systems had a haphazard, cataclysmic, and unknowable history in which baryons and DM act very differently.
- Galactic systems have baryon-to-DM ratios much smaller than the cosmic value.
MOND – synopsis

- MOND hinges on accelerations, noting that these are many orders of magnitude in galactic systems and the universe at large compared with lab and SS ones.

- Departure at small accelerations.

- Works very well in predicting the dynamics of many galaxies.

- Leaves some discrepancy in cluster. Not yet a coherent picture for cosmology.

- Strongly connected with cosmology in different ways.

- Several working self consistent theories (nonrelativistic and relativistic), but none the final MOND theory.

- MOND is a paradigm still under construction: an “effective” theory.
**MOND – basic tenets**

A theory of dynamics (gravity/inertia) involving a new constant \( a_0 \) (beside \( G, \ldots \))

Standard limit \((a_0 \to 0)\): The Newtonian limit

MOND limit: \( a_0 \to \infty, \ G \to 0, \ Ga_0 \text{ fixed} \):

Scale invariance: \((t, r) \to \lambda(t, r)\)

\( a_0 \) is analog to \( c \) in relativity or \( \hbar \) in QM

Modified gravity or/and modified inertia (special relativity as MI).
Eötvös’s legacy and MOND

The weak equivalence principle – Eötvös – is always assumed, including in modified dynamics theories.

Also is consistent with what we see in galaxies (all objects, stars, stellar systems, gas clouds, etc.) seem to fall in the same way.

To still satisfy the WEP the ‘boundary constant’ has to have no mass dimensions:

E.G, Velocity (relativity), frequency, acceleration (MOND)

Angular momentum ($\vec{h}$): Bodies with the same orbit but different masses have different AM so could lie on opposite sides of the boundary.
Point-like central mass:

\[ a = \frac{MG}{R^2} f\left(\frac{MG}{R^2 a_0}\right) \]

\[ a \approx \begin{cases} 
MG/R^2 & : \ a \gg a_0 \\
(MGa_0)^{1/2}/R & : \ a \ll a_0 
\end{cases} \]
Some Kepler-like MOND laws of galactic dynamics

- Asymptotic constancy of orbital velocity: $V(r) \rightarrow V_\infty$ (H)
- Light-bending angle becomes asymptotically constant (H)
- The velocity mass relation: $V_\infty^4 = M G a_0$ (H-B)
- Virial relation for systems with $a \ll a_0$: $\sigma^4 \sim M G a_0$
- Discrepancy appears always at $V^2 / R = a_0$ (H-B)
- The central surface density of “dark halos” is $\approx a_0 / 2\pi G$ (H)
- Universal baryonic-dynamical central surface densities relation (H-B).
- Full rotation curves from baryon distribution alone (H-B)
These laws

- Essentially follow from only the basic tenets of MOND
- Are independent as phenomenological laws—e.g., if interpreted as effects of DM (just as the BB spectrum, the photo electric effect, H spectrum, superconductivity, etc. are independent in QM)
- Pertain separately to properties of the “DM” alone (e.g., asymptotic flatness, “universal” $\Sigma$), of the baryons alone (e.g., $M - \sigma$, maximum $\Sigma$), relations between the two (e.g., $M - V$)
- Revolve around $a_0$ in different roles
Scale invariance

\[ \mathbf{X} \quad ma = F, \quad F = mMG/r^2 \]

\[ \mathbf{V} \quad ma^2/a_0 = F, \quad F = mMG/r^2, \]

or \[ ma = F, \quad F \propto m(MGa_0)^{1/2}/r \]
\[ a_0 = 0 \]

\( a_0 \) can be derived in several independent ways:

\[ a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm s}^{-2} \]

\[ \ddot{a}_0 \equiv 2\pi a_0 \approx cH_0 \quad \ddot{a}_0 \approx c(\Lambda/3)^{1/2} \]

\[ \ell_M \equiv c^2/a_0 \approx \ell_U \]

\[ a \leq a_0 \quad \iff \quad \ell_a \leq \ell_U \]

\[ M_M \equiv c^4/Ga_0 \approx M_U \]

No deep-MOND black holes
Asymptotic constancy of orbital velocity:

$V(r) \to V_\infty$
The asymptotic-velocity-mass relation:

\[ V_\infty^4 = MGa_0 \]

Scale invariance → \( V_\infty \) depends only on \( M \). Power 4 from acceleration. Intersect=\( Ga_0 \).
Asymptotic-velocity-mass relation from Galaxy-galaxy lensing

Brimioulle et al. 2013.
The central surface density of “dark halos” is $\approx a_0/2\pi G$

$\log(a_0/2\pi G) = 2.14$ (in the units in the figure)
Universal baryonic-dynamical central surface densities relation

Discrepancy-acceleration correlation

Discrepancy appears always at \( V^2 / R = a_0 \)

For \( g_N \ll a_0 \), \( g / g_N \approx (g_N / a_0)^{-1/2} \)

For \( g_N \gg a_0 \), \( g / g_N \approx 1 \)

73 disc galaxies from McGaugh (2015).
Discrepancy-acceleration correlation for pressure-supported systems

$g$ vs. $g_N$, Scarpa (2006)
Rotation Curves of Disc Galaxies

Sanders 2005 and Sanders and McGaugh 2002
Famaey and McGaugh (2012)
Famaey and McGaugh (2012)
McGaugh
**x-ray Ellipticals, tested over an acceleration range** $\sim 10a_0 - 0.1a_0$

Baryon and dynamical masses from Humphrey et al. 2011, 2012. MOND predictions as squares and rings
Andromeda satellites—internal dynamics

McGaugh and Milgrom 2013.
Galaxy Clusters

Sanders 1999

Clowe et al. 2006
Galaxy groups

General properties (in comparison with other systems): Mass, velocities, sizes, accelerations. Compare with clusters (not a question of scales).

$$MGa_0 = \frac{81}{4} \sigma^4$$
Nonrelativistic theories

Nonlinear Poisson equation (AQUAL, Bekenstein & Milgrom 1984):

\[ \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\mu(|\vec{\nabla}\phi|/a_0)\vec{\nabla}\phi] = 4\pi G \rho \]

The deep-MOND limit is conformally invariant

Quasilinear MOND (QUMOND, Milgrom 2010):

\[ \Delta \phi_N = 4\pi G \rho, \quad \Delta \phi = \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\nu(|\vec{\nabla}\phi_N|/a_0)\vec{\nabla}\phi_N] \]

Derivable from actions

Limits of relativistic theories (TeVeS, BIMOND, Einstein Aether)
Relativistic theories

- Tensor-Vector-Scalar Gravity (TeVeS–Bekenstein 2004, ideas from Sanders 1997) Gravity is described by $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $U_\alpha$, $\phi$: $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = e^{-2\phi}(g_{\alpha\beta} + U_\alpha U_\beta) - e^{2\phi}U_\alpha U_\beta$


$$\mathcal{L}(A, g) = \frac{a_0^2}{16\pi G} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) + \lambda(A^\mu A_\mu + 1);$$

$$\mathcal{K} = a_0^{-2} A_\gamma^{\alpha\gamma_\sigma} A^\sigma_\beta (c_1 g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\gamma\sigma} + c_2 \delta^\alpha_\gamma \delta_\sigma^\beta + c_3 \delta^\alpha_\sigma \delta_\gamma^\beta + c_4 A^\alpha A^\beta g_{\gamma\sigma}).$$

- Galileon k-mouflage MOND adaptation (Babichev, Deffayet, & Esposito-Farese 2011)

Also a tensor-vector-scalar theory. Said to improve on TeVeS in various regards (e.g., small enough departures from GR in high-acceleration environments)
• Nonlocal metric MOND theories (Soussa & Woodard 2003; Def-fayet, Esposito-Farese, & Woodard 2011, 2014) Pure metric, but highly nonlocal in that they involve $F(\Box)$.

• BIMOND (Bimetric MOND) (Milgrom 2009-2013)

\[ I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int [R + \hat{R} + \ell_M^{-2} \mathcal{M}(\ell_M^2 C^2)] dv + I_M + \hat{I}_M \]

• MOND from a specialized formulation of $f(R)$ theories (Bernal, Capozziello, Hidalgo, & Mendoza 2011, Barrientos & Mendoza 2016)

• Massive bi-gravity plus a polarizable medium (Blanchet & Heisenberg 2015)
**“Microscopic” approaches**

- Vacuum effects (Milgrom 1999)
- Membranes with gravity=extra dimensions (Milgrom 2002, 2018)
- Omnipresent medium with MOND-like effects:
  - Dark Fluid (Zhao 2008)
  - Novel baryon-DM interactions (Bruneton & al. 2008; Famaey, Khoury, & Penco 2018)
  - Superfluid (Khoury, Berezhiani & Khoury 2015)
- Entropic effect (Pikhitsa Ho & al. 2010, Li & Chang 2010, Klinkhamer & Kopp 2011, Verlinde 2017, others)
- Horava gravity (Romero & al. 2010, Sanders 2011, Blanchet & Marsat 2011)
Summary

- MOND is still under construction with new physics at $a \lesssim a_0 \sim cH_0 \sim c\Lambda^{1/2}$.
- It is anchored in symmetry.
- Several theoretical directions.
- It achieves a lot, and does it very well.
- Does not yet account for everything.
- Unlikely to be explained as some organizing principle for CDM.